

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,556	01/11/2002	Roy Frank Brabson	RSW920010159US1	1822	
. 75	90 04/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Jerry W. Herndon			CHANKONG, DOHM		
IBM Corporation T81/503 P.O. Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2152		
		DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/045,556	BRABSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dohm Chankong	2152		

	Dohm Chankong	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notic wing replies: (1) an amendmer otice of Appeal (with appeal fee iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ce of Appeal. To avoid ab it, affidavit, or other evide ) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).</li> </ul>	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth an SIX MONTHS from the mailing da ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	te of the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 nd the corresponding amount of the Itutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	• •	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	=	y rejected claims.				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		n-Compliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		] will be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21 and 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the reconsideration has been considered but the reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	D/11	es			
	8	BUNDOB JAROENC SUPERVISORY PATER	HONWANIT			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendment will not be entered because they raise new issues, such as the functionality of performing the analysis of the notification at a currently executing application, that would require further consideration and search...